


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The informality objected to in Claim 26 has been corrected in response to the Examiner's kind suggestions. The term "substrate's" is changed to --substrates--.

Reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 1, 4-9, 21, 25, and 27-30 under 35 U.S.C., 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is requested, in light of the following arguments.

Claims 1 and 21 have been amended in response to the Examiner's kind suggestions. Claims 1 and 21 have been rewritten to include the essential structural cooperative relationships of elements between the upper entrance, the lower opening, the partitions and the panels. In addition, the term "necked portion" has been removed. The shape of the curbing member is described as having a lead-in profile that is sloped and truncated thereby enabling a circular substrate to easily slide into a substrate support channel, past the curbing member thereafter securing the substrate between the arcuate substrate support surface and the underside of the curbing member. The language of the recitation "is disposed on an upper side of each channel" in lines 10-11 of claim 1 has been rephrased such that the position of the curbing member is implied by enabling a circular substrate to slide past the curbing member thereafter securing the substrate between the arcuate substrate support surface and the underside of the curbing member.

The limitations in claims 4 and 5 have been moved to independent claim 1. Claims 4 and 5 have been cancelled. In claims 6 and 7, the limitation “the support channels” has been added to independent claim 1 .

In claims 8 and 28, the improperly translated symbol ““ is replaced with the proper symbol “U“ to designate the shape of the substrate support channels. Additionally, in claims 8 and 28, the term “highly stressed edges” has been changed to brittle edges.

In claim 9 the limitation “said bottom” has been removed and rephrased to read “said sloped and truncated profile of said curbing member to prevent substrates from jutting beyond said curbing member”, and similarly in claim 29, “said stepped bottom” is removed and replaced with the same recitation as used in claim 9.

In claim 21, line 16, the term “easily” has been removed.

In Claims 25 and 30, the substrate’s supporting channels were rewritten to include reference to given dimensions of a circular object.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Stephen B. Ackerman, Reg. # 37,761

Tel.: (845) 452-5863